



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECRET

25X1A2g

~~CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY~~

-2-

taxi drivers, although the party's weakness in this group was demonstrated even before 3 October. The textile workers are believed to be still APRA-controlled.

- 25X1A  
25X1A
7. There are two questions of paramount interest to Aprista leaders at the moment. The first concerns Luis Eduardo Enriquez, renegade Aprista leader, who returned recently to Peru from Mexico. It is their belief that Enriquez was brought back by the government to testify falsely that the Aprista National Executive Committee, of which he was a member in 1947, had prior knowledge of the planned shooting of Francisco Grana. His testimony would thus implicate Haya as having had the same prior knowledge, since he was also a member of the committee at that time. With this testimony, the government would have grounds for criminal action against Haya. Comment. Enriquez would not testify, but refused to comment further. Enriquez' appointment as Cultural Attache at the Peruvian Embassy in Mexico City was announced 26 January.)

- 25X1A6a
8. The second point of concern at the moment is the matter of deciding who was to blame for the abortive Callao revolt. Callao is reported not to have been the work of the Aprista party leadership, but to have been pushed through by a group of minor party members without the approval or knowledge of the party command. Comment. This explanation would account for the fact that so many party leaders were caught on 3 October, and also for the lack of precautions taken to protect the party press, and the party records and membership lists which were confiscated by the government at the Casa del Pueblo. This breach of Party discipline and the resulting damage to APRA are now the subject of a severe intra-party investigation. Lists of all those involved are now being drawn up and cases prepared against the revolting members. What disciplinary measures will be taken has not been reported.)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECRET